



MINUTES

Tuesday, May 19, 2020

5:00 P.M. Closed Session

6:30 P.M. Open Session

REGULAR MEETING

**CITY COUNCIL, AIRPORT COMMISSION,
MARINA ABRAMS B NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, PRESTON PARK SUSTAINABLE
COMMUNITY NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE FORMER
MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND MARINA GROUNDWATER
SUSTAINABILITY AGENCY**

Council Chambers
211 Hillcrest Avenue
Marina, California

Zoom Meeting URL: <https://zoom.us/j/730251556>

Zoom Meeting Telephone Only Participation: 1-669-900-9128 - Webinar ID: 730 251 556

In response to Governor Newsom's Executive Order N.29-20 and City Council Resolution 2020-29 ratifying the Proclamation of a Local Emergency by the City Manager/Director of Emergency Services related to the COVID-19 (coronavirus) pandemic, public participation in the City of Marina City Council and other public meetings shall be electronic only and without a physical location for public participation, until further notice in compliance with California state guidelines on social distancing. This meeting is being broadcast "live" on Access Media Productions (AMP) Community Television Cable 25 and on the City of Marina Channel and on the internet at <https://accessmediaproductions.org/>

PARTICIPATION

You may participate in the City Council meeting in real-time by calling Zoom Meeting via the weblink and phone number provided at the top of this agenda. Instructions on how to access, view and participate in remote meetings are provided by visiting the City's home page at <https://cityofmarina.org/>. Attendees can make oral comments during the meeting by using the "Raise Your Hand" feature in the webinar or by pressing *9 on your telephone keypad if joining by phone only. If you are unable to participate in real-time, you may email to marina@cityofmarina.org with the subject line "Public Comment Item#__" (insert the item number relevant to your comment) or "Public Comment – Non Agenda Item." Comments will be reviewed and distributed before the meeting if received by 5:00 p.m. on the day of the meeting. All comments received will become part of the record. Council will have the option to modify their action on items based on comments received.

AGENDA MATERIALS

Agenda materials, staff reports and background information related to regular agenda items are available on the City of Marina's website www.cityofmarina.org. Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the Council after distribution of the agenda packet will be made available on the City of Marina website www.cityofmarina.org subject to City staff's ability to post the documents before the meeting

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL & ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM: (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, Preston Park Sustainable Communities Nonprofit Corporation, Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members and Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency)

MEMBERS PRESENT: Lisa Berkley (arrived 6:20pm), Adam Urrutia, Frank O'Connell, Mayor Pro-Tem/Vice Chair, Gail Morton, Mayor/Chair Bruce C. Delgado
3. CLOSED SESSION: *As permitted by Government Code Section 54956 et seq., the (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, Preston Park Sustainable Communities Nonprofit Corporation, Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members and Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency) may adjourn to a Closed or Executive Session to consider specific matters dealing with litigation, certain personnel matters, property negotiations or to confer with the City's Meyers-Milias-Brown Act representative.*
 - a. Conference with Legal Counsel, anticipated litigation - initiation of litigation pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of CA Govt. Code Section 54956.9 – three potential cases.
 - b. b. Conference with Legal Counsel, anticipated litigation – significant exposure to litigation pursuant to paragraph (2) or (3) of subdivision (d) of CA Govt. Code Section 54956..9: one potential case.
 - c. Real Property Negotiations
 - i. Property: Imjin Parkway/Landfill Site, APNs 031-101-039, 031-101-040, 031-101-041 and 031-101-042
Negotiating Party: County of Monterey and Successor to the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Monterey
Property Negotiator: City Manager
Terms: Price and Terms

6:40 PM - RECONVENE OPEN SESSION AND REPORT ON ANY ACTIONS TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION

Robert Rathie, Assistant City Attorney reported out Closed Session: Council met at 5:00pm as indicated with regard to the matters listed. For all three matters, Council received information, gave direction and no reportable action was taken.

4. MOMENT OF SILENCE & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Please stand)
5. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS:
 - a Police Officer of the Year 2019 Video, Christopher Johnson
 - b Recreation Announcements
6. SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR: *Any member of the Public or the City Council may make an announcement of special events or meetings of interest as information to Council and Public. Any member of the public may comment on any matter within the City Council's jurisdiction which is not on the agenda. Please state your name for the record. Action will not be taken on an item that is not on the agenda. If it requires action, it will be referred to staff and/or placed on a future agenda. City Council members or City staff may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2. In order that all interested parties have an opportunity to speak, please limit comments to a maximum of four (4) minutes.*

Any member of the public may comment on any matter listed on this agenda at the time the matter is being considered by the City Council.

- Elizabeth Billingsley – Thanked the City for striping the streets, they look marvelous. Asked when the city will allow the beauty shops to open back up?
- Mike Owen – Appreciated the enthusiastic support by the City Manager at the last meeting to my request when tree removal permits were going to go directly to the Planning Commission that the packets could also be sent to the tree committee. I was able to receive the packet a week before last Thursday's Planning Commission meeting on tree removal for the Dunes Project. I had time to send in information to Christy which allowed her time to check with the developer, redo her staff report, correct some omissions that she had, she wasn't counting California live oaks as actually trees but when they were removed they had to be replaced. Received an email from Christy thanking him for his input, making the project better because she was able to add about 80 replacement trees. Appreciated looking at the section for the future Dunes recreation area, which is one-half the project site between 1st and 2nd Avenue and hopeful that if I and the Tree Committee are still around there'll be a chance when there's a tree permit that we might be able to avoid 100% clear cutting and maybe save a couple of the trees by 2nd Avenue which are similar to the landmark tree on the corner of 9th and 2nd Avenue.
- Doug Yount – Announced the number of donations made by MCP and Shea Homes in recognition of the COVID crisis and the challenge it presents to the community of Marina residents, businesses. MCP and Shea Homes is very proud to be able to assist and have done that in a number of ways. First with a \$1,000 donation to the City of Marina's COVID-19 Relief Fund. Secondly with a \$1,000 donation to the Community Foundation for Food Bank Distribution. Third, \$500 to Marina Youth Arts for online education and \$500 to United Way for COVID-19 Relief Fund for the 2-1-1 Program.
- Brian McCarthy – Thinks Chief Nieto's video deserves a kudos from the public for incorporating technology especially this time when it's needed most. It says a lot that someone can incorporate that on top of their existing challenging duties. Commented about the city website and the need to improve some areas such as being able to search for key words in the agendas and agenda packets. There is a need for future improvements in this area. Notice that the city has done a lot to put certain city services on the website, specifically permits as I recently saw the new Hampton Inn doing work. It was really helpful, great job.
- Paul Bruno – Impressed with how the community has come together during this COVID-19 crisis. The Marina Foundation in particular has done a lot of coordination for food deliveries and things of that nature. Our company has done several challenge grants/matching grants up to \$5,000 and wanted to announce another one right now. Anybody who donates now to the Marina Foundation we will match dollar for dollar up to a total of \$5,000 for their food programs. I'll contact Steve Emerson and make sure the money is there.

7. **CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY:** *Background information has been provided to the Successor Agency of the former Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda for Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency and placed at the end of Other Action Items Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency.*

8. CONSENT AGENDA: *Background information has been provided to the City Council, Airport Commission, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, and Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and placed at the end of Other Action Items.*

a. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE:

- (1) Accounts Payable Check Numbers 94996-95090 & EFT, totaling \$504,486.36
Accounts Payable Successor Agency EFT's totaling \$285.00
Wire transfers from Checking and Payroll for March 2020, totaling \$798,041.32

b. MINUTES:

- (1) May 5, 2020, Regular City Council Meeting
- (2) May 12, 2020, Special City Council Meeting

c. CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY: None

d. AWARD OF BID: None

e. CALL FOR BIDS: None

f. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTIONS: None

g. APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS

- (1) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2020-51**, approving the Revised FORTAG Master Agreement between and among the Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC), the county of Monterey, the cities of Seaside, Marina, Monterey, Del Rey Oaks, California State University Monterey Bay, University of California Santa Cruz, and Monterey Peninsula Regional Park District, and; authorize the City Manager to execute the Revised Master Agreement on behalf of the City of Marina subject to final review and approval by the City Attorney.
- (2) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2020-52**, approving an agreement between the City of Marina and the Transportation Agency of Monterey County (TAMC) for the 2020 Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) allocation of funding, and; approving the RSTP competitive funding grant application for the Del Monte Boulevard Extension Project, and; authorizing the Finance Director to make the necessary accounting and budgetary entries, and; authorizing the City Manager to execute the agreement on behalf of the City subject to final review and approval by the City Attorney.

h. ACCEPTANCE OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS: None

i. MAPS: None

j. REPORTS: (RECEIVE AND FILE): None

k. FUNDING & BUDGET MATTERS: None

l. APPROVE ORDINANCES (WAIVE SECOND READING): None

m. APPROVE APPOINTMENTS: None

URRUTIA/O'CONNELL: TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA. 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote9. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

- a. City Council open public hearing taking testimony from public and consider Adopting **Resolution No. 2020-53**, approving Amendment to University Village Phase 2 Tentative Map (now The Dunes on Monterey Bay) (EIR SCH No.2004091167).

Council Questions: Did the Planning Commission hear all of the 10 concerns from Peter Le and were they discussed at the Planning Commission meeting? Is the 2005 Traffic Analysis outdated or not? Is the Phase 1 water usage and the remaining water available sufficient for this Phase 2 and Phase 3 or is the not even a subject to be discussed here tonight? Are we doing everything we can to integrate our FORTAG Trail from the 8th street bridge to accommodate 14 bicycles/pedestrians and also then that we worked hard on 9th Street in making sure that all types of uses would occur and that design and infrastructure would continue all the way to the Equestrian Center? Will all paths be able to accommodate equestrians?

Public Comments:

- Don Hofer – It's been quite a journey that started back in 2005 but really the approvals that we had in December after nearly a year of negotiations, which allowed Phase 2 to move forward it's what sort of gets us here today in many ways. Introduce the Dune's Team joining the meeting. We're excited to begin the next phase of the Dunes and this approval tonight really is the key component to that. There's been so much that we've done since 2005. The Dunes Shopping Center, 108 affordable apartments, Cinemark Movie Theatre, Montage Wellness Center, the VA-DoD Clinic, the shops at the Dunes to Springhill Suites all part of the Dunes Master Plan but not quite finished. That December agreement got us to where we are today, and this is just one component of where we're at and what's moving forward at the Dunes. Demo is now going on and we've taken down 38 buildings of 70 in the C-DAC hill area so that is advancing quickly. Once the buildings are taken down, we are planning to quickly progress to Phase 2 land takedown, which means close escrow on the land and take ownership. Another aspect of the project is the new hotel site. We are in negotiations with our hotel developer and hope to have our final agreement signed very soon. We are also in negotiations, we signed an LOI for a new office park to the west of the movie theatre. We have plans for the Promenade that are being finalized and will be bringing to you. As part of the Phase 2 of this project there is an affordable housing component and we are currently out with an RFP to develop those 140 apartment units. This tentative map approval tonight is just one aspect of that. It's a simple amendment as pointed out by staff, straight forward, fully compliant with the Specific Plan and the city's General Plan and also is demonstrative of impacts lesser than what was originally planned. We hope that you find it to your liking this evening and it warrants your support.
- PK Diffenbaugh – Spoke in favor of this project and vesting the map. In many ways the future of MPUSD is linked to smart development within the Monterey Peninsula and this is one such project that will add not just market housing but also affordable housing which will make a huge impact both in the number of students that we will receive as a result of these developments but also in the amount of affordable housing that's available for our teachers. We are currently loose between 80-100 teachers a year which is about 20% of our workforce. These types of projects that add affordable housing to our region have a direct impact on the stability of our schools and the future growth of our schools. Therefore, I truly believe that this project among others that you are stewarding will have a tremendous impact on MPUSD and our future. So, I'm here tonight as an individual because I haven't brought this to our board but I can say confidentially that the future of the district is dependent on projects like these and I urge you to consider this carefully and vest this map and let this project go forward.

- Daniel Ripkey – The role that a university and the surrounding communities can play to benefit each other are multiple in this case. Two communities become culturally and economically entwined. The benefits to both the university in terms of having a strong community linked by both walking trails, parks are huge not to mention the fact that the benefits of the internships that are provided by the close proximity of the campus to the downtown. One example is Chico we did a number of surveys of the internships and the ability of the local business to be able to attract interns to local positions and what we discovered is that interns were only attracted to the jobs and positions that were in walking or biking distance of the university so having the connections that were discussed are vital. Spoke with students who are going to school at CSUMB and multiple students who have said they would like to be more inclined with the City of Marina but right now they currently feel economically detached and having some kind of connections would be vital to the future of the town and the relationship between the City of Marina and CSUMB.
- Paul Bruno – This is a big day for the community to see this project moving forward when so many things aren't. The economy took a severe hit and to see this moving forward and the opportunities for the City of Marina especially when you look at Fort Ord and the blight and how long it's been we deserve better. We deserve the project to move forward. We deserve to see the community built out. Thinks it's exciting for the community to have the growth take place where it's been intended and do the infill out there and reuse that land that doesn't look good. It doesn't look good for our community to have that old abandoned base the way its been. Happy to see that the city has worked with the developer on this. It's been a collaborative process and that should be rewarded with moving forward. Happy to see everybody coming together and hopes to see this pass by the council.
- Fred Watson – Cofounder of the FORATG Project. Thanked the development team, staff and consultants for welcoming us into the discussions that brought some of the important details of this amended tentative map. We're looking forward to what will come of it. Thinks there are some good elements in there. Highlighted the view from Hilltop Park. FORTAG is about highlighting some of our best open-space experiences, even the ones that are right there in our dense communities. Hilltop Park is one of those experiences where you can stand up there and look at the ocean even though you are in the middle of a highly developed area. For a while there we were concerned as to whether or not that year would be preserved given the vertical offset between the field and the houses but through the discussions we've had we've been assured that we will still have that enhanced view. Other details in the map that are really important are the cross sections that specifically identify that we've got pedestrian, bikes and horses that we have moving through those corridors and the relative locations them and those corridors. Look forward to moving forward with the more detailed plans.

DELGADO/BERKLEY: TO ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 2020-53, APPROVING AMENDMENT TO UNIVERSITY VILLAGE PHASE 2 TENTATIVE MAP (NOW THE DUNES ON MONTEREY BAY) (EIR SCH NO.2004091167). 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

10. **OTHER ACTIONS ITEMS OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY:** *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The Successor Agency may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*
 - a. City Council of the City of Marina acting as the Successor Agency to the Marina Redevelopment Agency consider adopting **Resolution No. 2020-02 (SA-MRA)**, taking the final actions required to issue tax increment bonds for the Dunes on Monterey Bay project, including approving the form and authorizing distribution of two preliminary official statements in connection with the offering and sale of two series of tax allocation bonds by the Successor Agency to make payments on an enforceable obligation, and approving related documents and actions. ***Continued from May 5, 2020***

Council Questions: None

MORTON/BERKLEY: TO APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 2020-02 (SA-MRA), TAKING THE FINAL ACTIONS REQUIRED TO ISSUE TAX INCREMENT BONDS FOR THE DUNES ON MONTEREY BAY PROJECT, INCLUDING APPROVING THE FORM AND AUTHORIZING DISTRIBUTION OF TWO PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFERING AND SALE OF TWO SERIES OF TAX ALLOCATION BONDS BY THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO MAKE PAYMENTS ON AN ENFORCEABLE OBLIGATION, AND APPROVING RELATED DOCUMENTS AND ACTIONS. 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

Public Comments:

- Elizabeth Billingsley – Glad we're doing this project because we need the housing and low-income housing. Hate to see the old barracks go but new is always good.

11. **OTHER ACTION ITEMS:** *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The City Council may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*

Note: No additional major projects or programs should be undertaken without review of the impacts on existing priorities (Resolution No. 2006-79 – April 4, 2006).

- a. City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2020-54**, approving the 2020 Engineering and Traffic Survey with proposed speed limit revisions, and; authorize a budget appropriation of \$45,000 of Gas Tax/Street Fund for signs and supplies, and; authorize the Finance Director to make necessary accounting and budgetary entries, and; consider introducing Ordinance No. 2020-, for first reading by title only and waive further reading, amending Section 10.60.010 "Speed Limits Established" of Chapter 10.60 "Speed Limits" of Title 10 "Vehicles and Traffic" to adopt *prima facie* speed limits pursuant to an engineering and traffic survey and the California Vehicle Code (CVC).

Council Questions: Are Segments 21, 22 and 40 revisions or just Segment 22? Do you think that having California Avenue change speeds three times as problematic for the Police Department to enforce? Can you review Reservation Road and Del Monte Road as far as the different mile per hour zones so everyone can understand what we will be negotiating as far as our speed when we drive these roads?

BERKLEY/MORTON: TO ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 2020-54, APPROVING THE 2020 ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY WITH PROPOSED SPEED LIMIT REVISIONS, AND; AUTHORIZE A BUDGET APPROPRIATION OF \$45,000 OF GAS TAX/STREET FUND FOR SIGNS AND SUPPLIES, AND; AUTHORIZE THE FINANCE DIRECTOR TO MAKE NECESSARY ACCOUNTING AND BUDGETARY ENTRIES, AND; CONSIDER INTRODUCING ORDINANCE NO. 2020-, FOR FIRST READING BY TITLE ONLY AND WAIVE FURTHER READING, AMENDING SECTION 10.60.010 "SPEED LIMITS ESTABLISHED" OF CHAPTER 10.60 "SPEED LIMITS" OF TITLE 10 "VEHICLES AND TRAFFIC" TO ADOPT PRIMA FACIE SPEED LIMITS PURSUANT TO AN ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY AND THE CALIFORNIA VEHICLE CODE (CVC); WITH CORRECTIONS TO SECTION F OF THE ORDINANCE TO REFLECT CORRECT SPEEDS FOR CRESCENT AVENUE. 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

Public Comments:

- Liesbeth Visscher – It's great that involvement from residents has resulted in fewer speed limit increases and it is unfortunate that due to the current state law several unwanted increases will need to be accepted only to allow the police to enforce with electronic devices. In an email I submitted for this item I included a graph showing the number of vehicle code violations in Marina since 2007. Since 2015 the numbers have sharply gone down, unfortunately speed limits have not been actively enforced. As a concerned citizen I request active speed limit enforcement from our police department as soon as it is allowed with electronic devices. This is about safety not just for car drivers but also pedestrians, bicyclists and people in wheelchairs. Noted that there are not enough speed limit signs on roads like Abrams Drive and Marina Heights Drive. Asked City Council to look into this. In other streets the 25mph speed limit signs will be removed so please use those at Sea Haven and at other places where additional signs can improve safety. Please consider waiting with implementing higher speed limits on Imjin Parkway until the intersections at 3rd Avenue and Abrams Drive have been protected with traffic lights. Who came up with the number of 900 homes that need to be built before a traffic light at Abrams and Imjin could be installed? Aren't the lives of those first 899 homes worth being protected? Please look into anything else that can be done to improve safety for the pedestrians and bicyclists anywhere in the city by adding signs and crosswalks.
- Steve Zmak – Apologized to the Council for the latest letter they received on this subject as it was an over-reaction to a typo in the resolution where it looked like Crescent Avenue was going to be increased to 30mph. Relieved to hear that it was just a typo. Thank you for maintaining this 25mph speed limit and look forward to Marina's police department enforcement of the 25mph speed limit and hopefully this will be the last piece of the solution for traffic calming and for the original vision for making Crescent a safer street. So, thank you Council and city staff.
- Kathy Biala – Thanked Council for redirecting the engineering and traffic surveyors to reconsider their report findings based on public comments. Although Patton Parkway leading to the Marina high School was increased from 25mph to 40mph however, there were exceptions created to maintain 25mph during school hours and to maintain 25mph on the feeder street, on Crescent Street. Wanted to confirm with staff if all of Patton Parkway during school hours is reduced to 25mph? Incidentally we just had a stop sign installed this past month at the intersection of Crescent Street and Patton Parkway and then a car promptly crashed into it and the stop sign sat on a pole that was 3-feet above the ground until yesterday. Noted that her neighbor has a blind driveway that is blind to the cars making a right-hand turn from Patton Parkway. This neighbor is requesting a caution sign that indicates a blind turn. Please consider this additional safety measure. Also pointed out that on .6 mile of Patton Parkway there is only one sign for speed limits and no parking sign, so if you could look at that we would really appreciate that.
- Brian McCarthy – Thanked the Council for bringing this item back for more discussion. Thanked city staff for taking comments from the public on the different Segments. Learned a lot about this topic since this was at the first city council meeting in 2018. Segment 7 - Grateful the speed was reconsidered and revised back down. The speed is 35mph today. The initial report suggested a 30mph was appropriate. At that time, I advocated for consideration of 25mph rounding down due to hazards not readily apparent to the driver. For reasons still unknown to me the next iteration of the report put the speed backup to 35mph so staff mentioned that in this segment the engineer was able to develop findings to get it down to 30mph. Suggested the City consider adding an agency traffic committee meeting through the City Notify Me function on the website for future traffic related issues. Reached out to Assembly member Stone regarding the report that I shared with you at the last council meeting and did receive a response from Stone's office. Stated he sent an email

to the city asking if they would consider sending the Assembly a letter of support for any new legislation on speed limit setting policy and I thank you for your consideration.

b. COVID-19 Update

City Manager Long –

- Speaking with the County CAO on the Eviction Moratorium and currently expires May 31st. The CAO is expecting to bring this to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) to consider extending that moratorium. We are in a “wait and see” mode on what the County does
- The County sent to Mayor Delgado a letter stating the County is considering a variance that allows for reopening businesses more quickly than the State’s roadmap. That variance depends on the County Health Officer certifying that they meet certain standards. They have requested a letter or resolution from cities stating our support to them seeking this variance to open businesses quicker if they meet criteria established by the County Health Officer or say that we’re neutral or in opposition. Would like to issue a letter and would appreciate some feedback with Council on that topic.

Finance Director Frost – Loan Programs Update

- We have awarded two residential loans and three more in process for review
- We have awarded six business loans for \$54,000 and two more in process for review
- We’ve done a lot of outreach and connected with a lot of business through our outreach worker and found out that our outreach worker is actually referring people more to the new unemployment programs that are now available to small businesses.
- We’ve made outreach multiple ways to all our smaller businesses here in Marina and fully suspect we will not expend all our money and we’ll be back on the agenda to discuss what you would like to do on June 2nd.

Council Questions: Can we look at the County’s Eviction Moratorium to make sure it includes all city (Marina, Seaside, etc.)? Who is making this request for a letter or resolution? What are our options in order to give direction after having enough information to give it? Is it your understanding that the County is going to seek the variance regardless of what the cities say or is he seeking a majority of the cities support on their idea that the criteria has been met? If the County applies for or secures a variance what triggers a reversal of the variance or that the factors change significantly that there’s an immediate imposition of some corrective measure sooner rather than later? How many residential and small business loans has the City given? Do we need to extend the deadline for our loan programs? Do you think raising the income level to 120%-150% of the County Median Income, do you think that we would get more applications from truly needy businesses of the small type that we especially want to help that may not be qualifying right now because they exceed the current income limit?

Public Comments:

- Kathy Biala – Thanked Council for doing extraordinary things and quickly developing loans for both individuals and businesses and doing outreach to the community about applying for these loans. Knows the city loans were set up to ensure that owners who are well-to-do in their household incomes would not then be eligible for the city business loan. Understands the logic and the fairness of this however, the household income criteria for the loan was set up for 90% of County Median based on last year’s tax reports, which is quite low. In the high-risk restaurant business and especially in the COVID-19 crisis wouldn’t we like our owners have more of a cushion to sustain their families? Can we reconsider this percentage of the County Median

household income? Many restaurant owners work long hours and don't have a normal 9-5 job. There are those who were reluctant to apply or applied and were not considered because of these criteria; and in addition, it appears that financial pundice are predicting that economic recovery will not occur this year or even next year. Could we not extend the repayment of the loan to two-years with zero interest for the period? Voiced concerns that with the possible resurgence of the COVID-19 in September and after that the very slow economic recovery that may include business closure or long-term debt or insufficient incomes or revenues for quite some time. That our city keep our eye on the longer-term consequences of this pandemic; this will include inevitable impact such as sustained joblessness and what will come as a result of this; business closures and what are the tax implications to Marina; reopen strategies and how we will plan for ensured compliance in safety; when the eviction moratorium ends what will happen to those who cannot pay the back rent; increased homelessness and can we involve a citizen taskforce; increase crime over the long and slow economic recovery and how do we help our police force be ready? Since reopening entails a matter of potential loss of life please go with the most conservative medical advice foremost. A decision should be made based upon the most accurate medical direction, so I wholly agree with council member Urrutia.

- Paula Pelot – a couple of things Frank touch on, the two new testing sites. I emailed them specifically about the antibody testing because I don't really want people to show up thinking that that's what it's going to show them. It's for a current infection whether or not you symptomatic or asymptomatic. So, it is the nasal swab test. It is not the antibody testing and the reason they have not implemented it is because they do not feel that they have adequate information on the immunity status with a positive antibody results, so they are not doing those yet. With regard to the notice going to the Abrams and Preston communities, the City Manager referenced two-months and that was not my understanding, that was two weeks and two-weeks have passed and when it was not circulated and it was not told to me that it was not going to be circulated to the residents out here it was just not circulated and I had to questioned it several times and when I did the response I got was not at all what the City Attorney stated tonight. It was for other reasons it was not circulated. Understands the concerns of the City Attorney but wants the council to know that for well over a decade now we have had bulletin boards up that both the Tenants Association and Alliance management approves notices going in there, we moved more to electronics because that does seam reasonable this year and time and so, we have regularly been circulating on behalf of the Tenants Association many city programs. This wouldn't be the only one and during the COVID-19 crisis we had asked specifically for food programs and other sorts of things and so it's not unusual for that to be asked by the Tenants Association for programs, events, and specifically programs that benefit, that are run by the city or financed by the city. we've done it for well over a decade and in fact the Tenants Association, which is an official nonprofit association was formed at the behest of the city council. I don't want to wait two months for this. I think there's something kind of hinky going on there and I want it addressed. I'm very concerned and have heard from individual people who contact me and one of them I know has applied, don't know if they are still in process or not but there are quite a few people out here who are hurting between the 546 households and I don't know if they are aware of this program. This is a very normal type of notice that I would be sending out from the Tenants Association that gets circulated by Alliance. And the reason why they do this is because we had initially asked that they provide us email address or query the residents so that we could send our own notices and the compromise was that they would send these notices on our behalf.

12. COUNCIL & STAFF INFORMATIONAL REPORTS:

a. Monterey County Mayor's Association [Mayor Bruce Delgado]

Mayor Delgado – we meet twice a month during COVID-19 and basically, we meet with Dr. Moreno and County staff to make sure we are updated with what's going on with other cities and we are all very in sync pretty much doing the same thing about how we're implementing; we're just copying the County. Some distress among some Mayors that we don't get enough communication and so now we are meeting with Dr. Moreno once a week.

b. Council and staff opportunity to ask a question for clarification or make a brief report on his or her own activities as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2.

Council Member Berkley – Asked to take a moment of silence for Baby Marina who was the baby found out at the Monterey Regional Waste Management District.

City Manager Long – (1) Memorial Day flags will not be displayed due to COVID-19 social distancing and funding; (2) We sent out a notice to Council that we want to schedule study meeting on the budget for June 9th and if you haven't already responded to that request please respond; (3) we talked about an urban growth boundary and it will be on an agenda in June and as Bob has been working diligently on this he has come up with some glitches that may modify how we approach this.

Assistant City Attorney Rathie – Spoke with Mark Wolfe and we're working on what you described as a glitch that has to do with the Local Coastal Program Policies that were implemented back in 2000 and we're doing some research on that. We are hoping to bring that to the Planning Commission for the extension before it comes to the council and will have to be public hearings for both locations and we're hoping to do that in June. The ballot measure has to go to the elections department by August 7th.

The second measure, which I know council wanted would be a council sponsored measure without going to the ballot and we would be working with that in conjunction as well. An issue has come up with that and it's not instrumental but the Urban Growth Boundary in 2000 actually modified the 1982 General Plan and now we're operating under the 2000 General Plan, which was actually adopted on October 31, 2000 before the Urban growth Boundary was approved in that year.

c. Proclamation of Local Emergency Update

13. ADJOURNMENT: The Council meeting adjourned at 10:01 pm

Anita Sharp, Deputy City Clerk

ATTEST:

Bruce C. Delgado, Mayor