



MINUTES

Tuesday, September 21, 2021

5:00 P.M. Closed Session
6:30 P.M. Open Session

REGULAR MEETING

**CITY COUNCIL, AIRPORT COMMISSION,
MARINA ABRAMS B NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, PRESTON PARK SUSTAINABLE
COMMUNITY NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE FORMER
MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND MARINA GROUNDWATER
SUSTAINABILITY AGENCY**

Council Chambers
211 Hillcrest Avenue
Marina, California

Zoom Meeting URL: <https://zoom.us/j/730251556>

Zoom Meeting Telephone Only Participation: 1-669-900-9128 - Webinar ID: 730 251 556

In response to Governor Newsom's Executive Order N.29-20 and City Council Resolution 2020-29 ratifying the Proclamation of a Local Emergency by the City Manager/Director of Emergency Services related to the COVID-19 (coronavirus) pandemic, public participation in the City of Marina City Council and other public meetings shall be electronic only and without a physical location for public participation, until further notice in compliance with California state guidelines on social distancing. This meeting is being broadcast "live" on Access Media Productions (AMP) Community Television Cable 25 and on the City of Marina Channel and on the internet at <https://accessmediaproductions.org/>

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL & ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM: (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, Preston Park Sustainable Communities Nonprofit Corporation, Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members and Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency)

MEMBERS PRESENT: Cristina Medina Dirksen, David Burnett, Lisa Berkley, Mayor Pro-Tem/Vice Chair Kathy Biala, Mayor/Chair Bruce C. Delgado

3. CLOSED SESSION: *As permitted by Government Code Section 54956 et seq., the (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, Preston Park Sustainable Communities Nonprofit Corporation, Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members and Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency) may adjourn to a Closed or Executive Session to consider specific matters dealing with litigation, certain personnel matters, property negotiations or to confer with the City's Meyers-Milias-Brown Act representative.*
 - a. Conference with Legal Counsel, four case of existing litigation pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of CA Govt. Code Section 54956.9: (1) Appeal No. A-3-MRA-19-0034 by California American Water Company, et. al., to the California Coastal Commission over Denial by the City of Marina for a Coastal Development Permit for Construction of Slant Intake Wells for the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project; (2) City of Marina and Marina Groundwater

Sustainability Agency v. County of Monterey; Monterey County Board of Supervisors; County of Monterey Groundwater Sustainability Agency; California Department of Water Resources (DWR); and Director Karla Nemeth in her official capacity, Monterey County Superior Court Case No. 19CV005270; (3) City of Marina v. RMC Lonestar, RMC Pacific Materials LLC, California-American Water Company, Marina Coast Water District, and Monterey County Water Resources Agency, Monterey County Superior Court Case No. 20CV001387; and (4) California-American Water Company v. All Persons Interested in the Validity of the City of Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency's Sustainability Plan for the Marina GSA Area of the 180-/400-foot Aquifer Subbasin; City of Marina; City of Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency and does 1-50; (5) City of Marina, et al. v. All Persons Interested in the Validity of the Monterey County Groundwater Sustainability Plan, Monterey County Superior Court Case No. 21CV000493."

b. Labor Negotiations

- i. Marina Employee Association
- ii. Marina Professional Fire Fighters Association
- iii. Marina Public Safety Managers Association
- iv. Marina Middle Manager Association
- v. Directors
 - i. Community Development Director
 - ii. Finance Director
 - iii. Fire Chief
 - iv. Police Chief
 - v. Public Works Director
 - vi. Recreation & Cultural Services Director
 - vii. Assistant City Manager

City Negotiators: Layne P. Long, City Manager and Employee Relations Officer

- c. Conference with Legal Counsel, one case of existing litigation pursuant to paragraph (1) of Subdivision (d) of CA Govt. Code Section 54956.9: City of Marina v. A Taste of Elegance, LLC, Monterey County Superior Court Case No. 21CV001554.
- d. Real Property Negotiations
 - i. Property: Building 533, Suite 1, 721 Neeson Road, APN 031-12-024
Negotiating Party: Skydive Monterey Bay, Inc.
Negotiator(s): City Manager
Terms: Price and Terms
 - ii. Property: Building 535, 711 Neeson Road, APN 031-112-125
Negotiating Party: Light & Motions Industries, Inc
Negotiator(s): City Manager
Terms: Price and Terms

6:30 PM - RECONVENE OPEN SESSION AND REPORT ON ANY ACTIONS TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION

Robert Rathie, Assistant City Attorney reported out Closed Session: Council met in Closed Session with all matters listed, which includes an item that was added to the agenda related to securing a public building. Council received information in all matters, gave direction and no reportable action was taken.

4. MOMENT OF SILENCE & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Please stand)5. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS:a Introduction of Juan Lopes, New Finance Directorb Recreation Announcements6. SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR: *Any member of the Public or the City Council may make an announcement of special events or meetings of interest as information to Council and Public. Any member of the public may comment on any matter within the City Council's jurisdiction which is not on the agenda. Please state your name for the record. Action will not be taken on an item that is not on the agenda. If it requires action, it will be referred to staff and/or placed on a future agenda. City Council members or City staff may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2. In order that all interested parties have an opportunity to speak, please limit comments to a maximum of four (4) minutes. Any member of the public may comment on any matter listed on this agenda at the time the matter is being considered by the City Council.*

- Mike Owen – acknowledge all those volunteers that the mayor mentioned; mentioned the Sea Haven Developers holding field trip tomorrow at 1:00am starting at the Nancy Dodd Community Center at 3043 MacArthur drive to review the 353 trees they're asking the Planning Commission on this Thursday to remove and at 129 trees they're looking to save
- Peter Le – Commented on Marina Fire Department Study. Submitted over 40 questions to council and staff, hopes to get answers to those questions back soon.
- Pat Grant – Commented on public comment time being shortened during an important issue; about Mr. Siegenthaler contradicting himself during that last meeting. Statute under the Federal Lands to Parks program is very broad and vague as to what constitutes public use, and the definition of a public park is very broad; City staff's insentience that public boarding of horses at the Marina stables that the NPS transferred to us is anything but a public recreation, that insistence is not defensible.
- Amy Warren – Commented on the economics of the Chaparral Corporation proposal and encourage the city council's continued due diligence; Noted short-term boarding would not bring in the significant revenue as stated because there are no horse shows within 2 hours of here for visitor to come and board. It is a wrong to abandon the vision of fair and equitable access for the idea of promoting tourism; Please continue the accessible Marina vision that everyone in Marina has advanced.
- Sherilyn – Spoke about the MEC and private boarding; commented on MEA free events; questions the idea that the current Marina Equestrian Center is an exclusive long-term, private boarding facility; commented on all the events MEA has put on over the last couple of years and emergency evacuations; hope that if the new concierges take over they'll continue these free events; NPS was responding to an MEA that existed in 2007, we have a forum to follow guidelines that were never given a chance to show how we do comply with the current guidelines
- Susan Firstmen – Spoke against Marina selecting Chaparral. Listened to your meeting for the last two hours and what I have gleaned out of it is the compassion that you have for your community. Please do a little more research on these people.
- Krystal Giacomelli – Spoke against Chaparral. Commented on page 9 of the MEC RFP. Asked why and how Chaparral was moved forward with missing information from their proposal? Who will pay for the utilities since Chaparral did not include them in their proposal?
- Brian McCarthy – Planning Commission meeting this Thursday, September 23rd. Commented on inactive commission/committees. Asked what is the status of recruiting for the community development department director?

- Brad Imamura – Heard that there's possibly a Trader Joe's coming into the Dunes Development area; spoke with regard to the recent passage of SB9 by the California State Legislature and asked how that would affect the city of Marina, if it does; hope the council does their due diligence, with regard to the Equestrian Center, and would include all the financials; appreciate council's work on the proposed Hotel in the dunes area, and I trust the council will make a responsible and wise decision.
- Kathy Imamura – announced on Saturday October 9, the Marina Rotary Founding will be holding their 6th Annual Cars in the Park Car Show at the Vince DiMaggio park in Marina from 9:00am-4:00pm; commented on a past event that occurred last month called Touch a Truck event at the Compass Church there on Crescent Avenue.
- Nichole Fisher – Announced MEA events on October 3rd is our Free Community event; on October 23rd Open House Fall Festival; on October 31st will be hosting the middle school and high school dances at the Marina Equestrian Center.
- Kate Spencer – Concerned that the scoring was not accurate given Chaparral incomplete financials and MEA being much stronger in community programming; commented on council and public only having 10-days to review the 3 proposals; commented about the current volunteers and them having to move their horses out if Chaparral is selected and that volunteer activities would cease; spoke about the MEC connecting with the FORTAG trails; hopes that if the negotiations with Chaparral are unsatisfactory that the city will reconsider and or even just go ahead and reconsider. and give MEA real opportunity to negotiate an agreement that can work for the city for the NPS.
- Lindsey Beery – Commented on the MEA free events coming up in October; Commented on Chaparral's proposal and disappointed in the outcome. It was unfair scoring and complete lack of transparency on city staff's part. Spoke against Chaparral's proposal due to inaccuracies in financials and missing information; Spoke about Council Member Berkley's dissertation; problem with the handling of the RFP process
- Masha – Spoke on equity and it being a hot word in education and that you really cannot compare the proposal from a nonprofit organization, and the profit organization started in them from the same baseline. In the real world, profit the purpose of profit corporation is to produce profit. the purpose of nonprofit organization is to produce services and experiences; Spoke in favor of the Marina Equestrian Association and how they treat the horses and the public
- Kyle Soliven – Announced the upcoming MEA Halloween Events and asked everyone to keep an eye out for flyers, also looking for community members who would like to help us decorate and celebrate; commented on Chaparral proposal of adding RV park at the Marina Equestrian Center, asked if any of the surrounding neighborhoods and homeowners' associations knew about this and what their feelings are on adding an RV.
- Rinku & Yani – Recently moved to Marina and looking for a community we can feel we belong to. Expressed positive feelings about what the Marina Equestrian Center has provided in their life since coming to Marina. Hopes the council might reconsider what is really happening there, it's a privilege to have these animals here for us to understand everything about diversity, equity, land conservation, extremely helpful for our community's health. Hoping Council can give the MEA another chance
- Jamie Ruvalcaba – Marina Vista Elementary school egress and a no left-hand turn sign, has there been any enforcement in that area since school opened or prior to school being close? Asked if the stoplight at Imjin and California Ave have cameras on them because of people speeding or running red lights. regarding Chaparral's proposal and the building area where the Monterey Bay Amateur Radio Association is and wondered what the fate of that group would be if that follows through?

- Jenna Larkin – Commented on Chaparral’s proposal. Mayor Pro-Tem Biala mentioned high numbers of transients or tourists boarding and asked what research was done to support those numbers? Concerned city was catering to tourists and out of town guests, not to the community that lives in Marina. Wanted to know the metrics that you're using to gauge private boarding where services are offered, where the public can come walk the facility at any time, picnic, pet horses and interact with horses, versus a private, nonprofit or a private corporation, keeping their horses there for profit for tourists, or people who can actually afford their services.
- Amy – bought a house, specifically in Marina, because there was an equestrian center here. This is one of the most affordable places left in the area. Public boarding stables that are left are really expensive. Spoke in favor of keeping the Marina Equestrian Association and the MEC a open to the public and not allowing a for-profit corporation to take over and kick the horses out
- Melanie – shout out to the marina Equestrian Center. My daughter was introduced through one of the community programs and it's pretty awesome. So, I hope, whatever you all decide that you think about the kids of the community.
- Mayor Delgado – thanked all the volunteers that came out to any one of the three community cleanup later pick up events, over the last 10 days; Commented on the Marina Foundation’s 2019 and 2020 Marina Man and the Woman of the year event being held on September 18th and ceremonious accolades, were Ron Lebda, the 2019 Man of the Year, Dana Clary, 2019 Woman of the Year, and Edith Johnson our former mayor, and former county supervisor the Lifetime of Service Award and Jim Osborne 2020 Man of the Year, 2020 women Woman of the Year, and then Joan Blake 2020 Lifetime of Service Award; Commented on the Summer of Railroad where approximately 6000 people have come to Marina to ride marinas railroad;
- Council Member Medina Dirksen – attended a parade on Friday for homecoming and recreation fed over 400 students are participants hot dogs and food at the Teen Center; Skate Jam and people from all over came to Marina; attended a volleyball game, thank you to Cheyenne and the team; Announced Friends of the Marina Library and Marina Youth Arts have been accepted into the Monterey Gives End of Year Campaign; mentioned Debbie Hale, of TAMC will be retiring this Friday and TAMC has named Todd Much as the new director.

7. **CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY:** *Background information has been provided to the Successor Agency of the former Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda for Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency and placed at the end of Other Action Items Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency.*

8. **CONSENT AGENDA:** *Background information has been provided to the City Council, Airport Commission, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, and Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and placed at the end of Other Action Items.*

a. **ACCOUNTS PAYABLE:**

- (1) Accounts Payable Check Numbers 98268-98466, totaling \$1,316,992.75
Successor Agency Accounts Payable EFT & Check Number 70, totaling \$497.50

b. MINUTES:

- (1) August 3, 2021, Regular City Council Meeting
- (2) August 10, 2021, Special City Council Meeting
- (3) August 17, 2021, Special City Council Meeting
- (4) August 17, 2021, Regular City Council Meeting

c. CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY:

- (1) City Council Rejection of Claim - staff recommends that the City Council reject the following claim and direct sending appropriate notice of rejection to claimant: Marina Aviation, LLC for a claim received on September 3, 2021.

d. AWARD OF BID: None

e. CALL FOR BIDS: None

f. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTIONS:

- (1) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2021-101**, supporting the Blue Zones project to improve well-being and economic vitality sponsored by Salinas Valley Memorial Healthcare System, Taylor Farms and Montage Health.

g. APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS

- (1) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2021-102**, approving the creation of public utility easements on City properties for utility improvements benefiting Opportunity Site 1A within the Specific Plan boundaries of The Dunes on Monterey Bay development project subdivision (formerly University Village) subject to the technical approval of the legal descriptions by the City Engineer; and authorizing the City Clerk to record a certified copy of the resolution in the Monterey County office of the County Recorder.

h. ACCEPTANCE OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS: None

i. MAPS:

- (1) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2021-103**, approving the Final Map and Public Improvement Agreement between the City of Marina and Peter Taormina for the Via Del Mar Subdivision located at the southeast corner of Abdy Way and Healy Avenue (APN 033-011-006); and authorize the City Manager to execute the Public Improvement Agreement on behalf of the City subject to final review and approval by the City Attorney.

j. REPORTS: (RECEIVE AND FILE): None

k. FUNDING & BUDGET MATTERS: None

l. APPROVE ORDINANCES (WAIVE SECOND READING): None

m. APPROVE APPOINTMENTS: None

BIALA/MEDINA DIRKSEN: TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA. 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS: *9a public hearing only to open at 8:00pm*

- a. City Council open a public hearing and taking testimony from the public and regarding the 2021-2022 City Council redistricting process and to receive public input on district boundaries and Communities of Interest and a report on the redistricting process and permissible criteria to be considered to redraw City Council election district boundaries.

Mayor opened the public hearing at 8:00 pm

Council Questions: Impact of population growth in district realignment with possible annexation of 1000 CSUMB; Clarity on socio economic geographic areas that should be kept together; how are socio economic differences addressed? Does socio economic criteria factor into boundaries of a future district? Is there a layer that does provide the household median incomes? what definitive metric do we have that can assist us in, in, in putting facts to work on this map? how enhanced are the options and the tools on this map versus the last time we did this? What is mandated by state law? How are you addressing current sitting council members residence? Respect voters' choices/continuity, SB489 relation communities of interest may not include relationships with and there's three things but incumbents is on there, seems like it's a contradiction, can you clarify? When we say that we're trying to respect continuity in office, are you referring to the sequencing? Can council members develop some of these maps? Can we put forth some of the maps, a draft map? Can we resurrect two of the last maps and re-vet them? If you just took for example an associate economic group or high socio-economic group that is very similar and you split it in half, what are the ramifications? How can some announce their candidacy if they don't know the districts in time? Do you think that police beat sectors and elementary school learning communities are worthy of considering? Is it more that we're trying to bring disparate communities together in the same district, or is it more than we're trying to make districts hermogenes? Should we be trying to look to homogenize important criteria such as income strata? If we have a solid apartment area, should we consider that in trying to keep it together rather than not considering it, and then letting it be split? if you look at the southernmost part of District two as it's in front of us and the southwestern most part of District three that's in front of us. It divides a fairly wealthy, and a brand-new community two, does that give that community more power because they have a substantial portion of two districts, or does it dilute their power by dividing them into districts? How are police beat sectors drawn up and how many do we have?

Public Comments:

- Mike Owen – commented on all the new homes being constructed in Sea Haven and the Dunes and how the people moving in will not count until the next 10-year census and future growth; when you're using vehicles to draw these maps, and you have all these elements to consider what are some of the elements, the future growth like 1000 from CSUMB, and the build out from dunes and see haven
- Tami Young – Commented on Mayor's statement about keeping renters with renters and homeowners with homeowners
- Paula Pelot – Asked is there a layer for renters in the mapping tools? Community survey - people are only going to be filling those in one time and they can still send in other comments after that is that's correct? Future population growth - how that can factor in this additional growth and does it impact the possibility that we might be able to redistrict with the annexation of CSUMB to meet whatever is the state requirement? Can people use the mapping tool online at the map marina.org, and just play around with it and not save it? Last time when we districted there were people who were not up for election in the subsequent election and still had term left. So how would they be addressed? Do current terms of elected officials affect the sequencing. How, how does that affect them do they remain seated?

- Kenia – the presentation was very informative, looking forward to learning more and trying to generate a map of my own. Necessity to prioritize and looking into the different socio-economic levels, and the different types of housing as a critical component; would like folk that rent and live in multifamily units to have adequate representation; there's going to be different interests and different considerations and it's more of a balancing act.
- Kathy Imamura – Asked how was the consultant chosen and what organization she is from? Agrees with what Mayor spoke about on the redistricting, there needs to be more diversity of the mix of the districts

Mayor Delgado closed the public hearing, and no motion was made.

- b. City Council of the City of Marina to Open a Public Hearing, Take Any Testimony from the Public, and introducing and Read by title only Ordinance 2021-, deleting definitions for “dwelling unit, secondary” (17.04.291) and “guest house” (17.04.380) and amending the R-1(17.06.020 and 050), R-2 (17.08.020 and 050), and 050) R-3 (17.10.020 and 050), and R-4 (17.12.020 and 050), zoning districts, removing the terms “guest house and secondary dwelling), amending 17.42.A.3.d.i to allow for accessory dwelling units of over 16’ in height in certain circumstances, and 17.4.A.3.f.iii. ***Continued from September 8, 2021***

Council Questions: SB9 and SB10 integration and compliance? How did you come to the 12 feet? Is that mandated with a driveway and are there requirements for ADU parking for residents? is what's being proposed more restrictive or less restrictive than what the state has guided us through? Primary building size must be larger than ADU size, correct?

Mayor opened the public hearing

Public Comments:

- Peter Taormina – applicant on this. I interceded with the second reading of the ADU ordinance, because I saw a little flaw there. Built about 22 of these structures in conjunction with infill subdivisions developed all of them have been over garage to preserve the onsite parking. Parking is a challenge. With SB9 mentioned it's even more imperative that we allow this kind of exception. The ADU over the garage is an eloquent solution. it's a important component when you start adding ADU's, junior ADU's to allow for added height, because the current 16 foot restriction and the current ordinance would preclude you from doing this. it's good idea and I hope cancel approve the ordinance as written.

Mayor Delgado closed the public hearing

BERKLEY/BURNETT: THAT WE OPENED THE PUBLIC HEARING AND TOOK TESTIMONY FROM THE PUBLIC, AND INTRODUCED AND READ BY TITLE ONLY ORDINANCE 2021-, DELETING DEFINITIONS FOR “DWELLING UNIT, SECONDARY” (17.04.291) AND “GUEST HOUSE” (17.04.380) AND AMENDING THE R-1(17.06.020 AND 050), R-2 (17.08.020 AND 050), AND 050) R-3 (17.10.020 AND 050), AND R-4 (17.12.020 AND 050), ZONING DISTRICTS, REMOVING THE TERMS “GUEST HOUSE AND SECONDARY DWELLING), AMENDING 17.42.A.3.D.I TO ALLOW FOR ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS OF OVER 16’ IN HEIGHT IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, AND 17.4.A.3.F.III.; AND TO ADD THE WORDS “ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT” BETWEEN THE WORDS, THE AND STRUCTURE AS CONSISTENT WITH STAFF REPORT. 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

10. OTHER ACTIONS ITEMS OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY: *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The Successor Agency may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*
11. OTHER ACTION ITEMS: *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The City Council may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*

Note: No additional major projects or programs should be undertaken without review of the impacts on existing priorities (Resolution No. 2006-79 – April 4, 2006).

12. COUNCIL & STAFF INFORMATIONAL REPORTS:
 - a. Monterey County Mayor's Association [Mayor Bruce Delgado]
 - b. Council and staff opportunity to ask a question for clarification or make a brief report on his or her own activities as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2.

Layne Long, City Manager reported on AB361: Governor issued an executive order updating procedures governing our local legislative meetings and that when it goes into effect, October 1, 2021. It extends the flexibility of local governments to continue to hold our meetings electronically, providing that we meet certain statutory requirements. One of the statutory requirements is the state has not rescinded its emergency order and along with that we have not rescinded our local emergency order that still in effect and so that's what requiring. Then the other requirement has to deal with social distancing. The County Health Officers still has a directive that that we are recommended to continue to maximize our social distancing and so, right now our council chambers hasn't been remodeled to allow us to do the social distance you know for the council and city staff but also for the public. Assistant City Attorney fills that we meet those statutory requirements and so we're able to continue to hold our council meetings electronically. It doesn't mean that we're going to continue to do this, indefinitely and I think in the future we're definitely going to want to talk with the council about getting our transition into coming back and in holding our local meetings. The order also gives the flexibility for hybrid meetings to continue.

13. ADJOURNMENT: the meeting adjourned at 10:30pm

Anita Sharp, Deputy City Clerk

ATTEST:

Bruce C. Delgado, Mayor