



MINUTES

Wednesday, August 3, 2022

5:00 P.M. Closed Session

6:30 P.M. Open Session

SPECIAL MEETING

**CITY COUNCIL, AIRPORT COMMISSION,
MARINA ABRAMS B NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, PRESTON PARK SUSTAINABLE
COMMUNITY NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE FORMER
MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND MARINA GROUNDWATER
SUSTAINABILITY AGENCY**

Council Chambers
211 Hillcrest Avenue
Marina, California

Zoom Meeting URL: <https://zoom.us/j/730251556>

Zoom Meeting Telephone Only Participation: 1-669-900-9128 - Webinar ID: 730 251 556

In accordance with California Government Code §54953(e)(1)(A) and (C) and the Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Newsom on March 4, 2020, under the provisions of Government Code §8625 related to the COVID-19 (coronavirus) pandemic, consistent with recommendations by State and local health officials regarding social distancing and in order to prevent an imminent risk to the health and safety of attendees as determined in Resolution 2022-94, public participation in City of Marina City Council public meetings shall be electronic only and without a physical location for public participation until the earlier of May 31, 2022, or such time as the City Council may adopt a resolution in accordance with Government Code §54953(e)(3). This meeting is being broadcast “live” on Access Media Productions (AMP) Community Television Cable 25 and on the City of Marina Channel and on the internet at <https://accessmediaproductions.org/>

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL & ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM: (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, Preston Park Sustainable Communities Nonprofit Corporation, Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members and Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency)

MEMBERS PRESENT: Cristina Medina Dirksen, David Burnett, Lisa Berkley, Mayor Pro-Tem/Vice Chair Kathy Biala, Mayor/Chair Bruce C. Delgado
3. CLOSED SESSION: *As permitted by Government Code Section 54956 et seq., the (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, Preston Park Sustainable Communities Nonprofit Corporation, Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members and Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency) may adjourn to a Closed or Executive Session to consider specific matters dealing with litigation, certain personnel matters, property negotiations or to confer with the City’s Meyers-Milias-Brown Act representative.*

- a. Conference with Legal Counsel, Potential litigation, exposure to litigation per: “Conference with Legal Counsel – anticipated litigation, significant exposure to litigation pursuant to paragraph (2)(d) of CA Govt. Code sec. 54956.9 – One potential case
- b. Performance Evaluation of Public Employee, Unrepresented Employee (CA Govt. Code Section 54957(b)(1) – City Manager

6:30 PM - RECONVENE OPEN SESSION AND REPORT ON ANY ACTIONS TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION

Heidi Quinn, City Attorney reported out Closed Session:

4. MOMENT OF SILENCE & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Please stand)
 5. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS: None
 6. SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE FLOOR: *Any member of the Public or the City Council may make an announcement of special events or meetings of interest as information to Council and Public. Any member of the public may comment on any matter within the City Council’s jurisdiction which is not on the agenda. Please state your name for the record. Action will not be taken on an item that is not on the agenda. If it requires action, it will be referred to staff and/or placed on a future agenda. City Council members or City staff may briefly respond to statements made or questions posed as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2. In order that all interested parties have an opportunity to speak, please limit comments to a maximum of four (4) minutes. Any member of the public may comment on any matter listed on this agenda at the time the matter is being considered by the City Council.*
- Les Martin – Announce his candidacy for Council Seat District 4 and provided a detailed background of his qualifications.
 - Mike Owen – Spoke about how the SB330 Housing Crisis Act of 2019 streamlines only housing projects. Noted tree removals on housing projects continue to look to be a relatively minor part of the Tree committee's responsibilities. Commented on the Dunes tree removals in the commercial phases not being part of SB330. Noted that landscaping reviews should be transferred back to the Tree Committee
 - John Sanders – Spoke about the proposed General Obligation Bond that Council considered on July 26th and noted that there were no public meetings held between January 20th and July 26th outside of council meetings. Commented on the opinion survey conducted by FM3. Asked what steps have been taken by council and staff for public engagement on the bond measure?
 - Tommy Bolea – Commented on the National Night Out Event held on Tuesday, gave thanks to all involved in putting it on. Spoke about the city purchasing the building next to City Hall and adding an additional floor to that building versus constructing new building for police/fire and community center. Suggested other uses for the existing building. Spoke about the wild land between East Marina and East Garrison and the need to preserve it. Asked what happens if the city defaults on the bonds.
 - Brian McCarthy – Announced his candidacy for Council Seat District 1 and provided his website address. Asked why there is public announcements on this special city council meeting when normally there isn’t.

- Council Member Medina Dirksen – Asked about the filing deadline for persons interested in running for City Council. Hats off to our police in our fire department for a very successful National Night Out last night. Announced that school is back in session, congratulations parents for getting through the summer. Spoke about White House holding a summit on advanced air mobility including drones and EV Talls, Joe Benbevert, CEO of Joby Aero Inc. was there so hats off to Joby for putting Marina on the map. Commented on the retirement of Monika Collier from our finance department. Spoke about the MST Surf Line and being awarded \$25 million from the state to help fund the Surf Line.
- Council Member Berkley – Heads up to be on the lookout on social media on August 18th at 6:00 pm via Zoom the Marina Democratic Club co-sponsored with the Democratic Women of Monterey County as well as the Not-for-profit Housing is a Human Right, and Monterey County Renters United will be hosting an event called Housing as a Human Rights, Affordable Rents Equal Homelessness Prevention.
- Mayor Delgado – event happening at Cal State University, Monterey Bay tomorrow, Thursday at 7 PM. and this Friday, August 5th at 7 PM at the World Theater will be a production put on by high school students from around the county who put their life challenges into this production. Spoke about attending National Night Out. On Saturday at 9:00 am-1:00pm volunteers are welcome outside the Marina Library to work on a Community Garden and Oak Woodman Restoration Project. On Sunday, August 14th from 10:00am-12:30 pm. we pick up litter along the rec. trail north of town at Lapis Road and Del Monte Blvd. Announced that next year Marina Earth Day is on April 15, 2023, outside the Marina Library.

7. CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY: *Background information has been provided to the Successor Agency of the former Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda for Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency and placed at the end of Other Action Items Successor Agency to the former Marina Redevelopment Agency.*

8. CONSENT AGENDA: *Background information has been provided to the City Council, Airport Commission, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, and Redevelopment Agency on all matters listed under the Consent Agenda, and these items are considered to be routine. All items under the Consent Agenda are normally approved by one motion. Prior to such a motion being made, any member of the public or the City Council may ask a question or make a comment about an agenda item and staff will provide a response. If discussion or a lengthy explanation is required, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and placed at the end of Other Action Items.*

a. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE:

(1) Accounts Payable EFT's and Check Numbers 100349-100459, totaling \$461,034.79

b. MINUTES:

(1) July 6, 2022, Regular City Council Meeting

(2) July 12, 2022, Special City Council Meeting

c. CLAIMS AGAINST THE CITY: None

d. AWARD OF BID: None

e. CALL FOR BIDS: None

f. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTIONS:

- (1) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2022-98**, approving the update to the 2022 Monterey County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, and; approve the update to Volume 2, Annex G, and; adopt the Monterey County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, including Annex G as an official plan of the City of Marina.

g. APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS:

- (1) ~~City Council consider adopting Resolution No. 2022-, approving Amendment No. 1 to the On-Call Landscape Architectural Services agreement between the City of Marina and Verde Design, Inc. (Verde) increasing the annual contract limit to \$650,000 for fiscal year 2022-2023; and authorizing the City Manager to execute the amendment on behalf of the City subject to final review and approval by the City Attorney.~~ ***Pulled by member of the public, becomes agenda item 11d***

h. ACCEPTANCE OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS:

- (1) City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2022-99**, accepting the dedication of Public Improvements as shown on the approved Sea Haven Phase 5A Improvement Plans and Final Map, and; authorize the City Clerk to release bond securities, accept a warranty bond, and record acceptance with Monterey County Recorder's Office.

i. MAPS: Nonej. REPORTS: (RECEIVE AND FILE): Nonek. FUNDING & BUDGET MATTERS: Nonel. APPROVE ORDINANCES (WAIVE SECOND READING): Nonem. APPROVE APPOINTMENTS: None

Mike Owen requested to pull agenda item 8g(1)

BERKLEY/BIALA: THAT WE APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA MINUS 8g(1). 5-0-0-0
Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

- a. City Council open a public hearing and consider adopting **Resolution No, 2022-100**, approving the assignment of the Development Agreement related to the Marina Station Project to 3rd Millennium Partners; and read by title only and approve the first reading of Ordinance No. 2022-, approving the first amendment to the development agreement related to the Marina Station Project. ***Continued from June 21, 2022 and July 19, 2022***

Council Questions: The air district and greenhouse gases, or in the solar are those per State law he's outside of the necessary? You mentioned CCNR's and some other nomenclature can you just define what you were talking about? ***FORTAG Trail*** = Was Fred Watson or any of the FORTAG Team involved in this? ***Development Area Parks*** = Do we have the approximate sizes of the parks? Is it true that parks 1, 2, 6, and 8 will have restrooms? How many ballfields are there in this development? So how do we know if one baseball field in that Southwest Corner satisfies the needs for a baseball field for that community called Marina Station? How do we know that there's enough baseball fields for the city, since we lost the one that was planned for park 2? Where those 2 baseball fields decided

during the master planning that had public engagement a few years ago that we referred to frequently? If we won't have any baseball fields in Northern Marina left and if we take the baseball field here, so back to Lane's question, if we do a revision because we have to, because we've lost a couple amenities here that were part of our grand vision, what flexibility does Marina station have? be part of that revision? If we have a baseball field at Park #5 at Marina Station, and we have a baseball field, it's not ours, but it functions very well at Los Arboles Middle School, and we have baseball fields at Preston Park and Maybe we're going to have one maybe we're not going to have one at The Dune City Park next to the Sports Center, those that I just mentioned seem to represent North, Central and South Marina will it be this public process revisioning that will determine if we have enough baseball fields; and what to do about it if we don't but that Marina Station sounds like it might be off the table to incorporate a baseball field if we find out that we need one there? Do you know why we have in Marina Station planned 2 soccer stations and 1 baseball? Is there logic to that? You helped develop East Garrison, correct? When we talk about the footprints for the parks, and we've got \$6.3 million to mitigate can we change a footprint to add things like pickle ball courts or things like that? Is there still time to negotiate what our residents might want? Park maintenance, is there going to be a shared agreement between Marina and the developer? Will all the parks within the development be accessible to the public? Can you tell us what would the total cost of this project would be when it's fully completed? Is Marina Station similar to the arrangement that we have with The Dunes? Can the soccer field that was eliminated from one park be placed in park 2? Why was the baseball field eliminated from park 2?

Berkley/Medina Dirksen: we approve Resolution No. 2022-, approving the assignment of the development agreement related to the Marina Station Project to 3RD Millennium Partners and (2) read by title only in a way, further reading, and approve the first reading of ordinance 2022-, approving the first amendment to the development agreement related to the Marina Station project, and (3) that we come back and have a public information session to further discuss the parks.

Public Comments:

- Karen Andersen – Asked if Park 6 restrooms be available to the Windy Hill Park users, will there be a fence or border? Thanked everyone for their effort in protecting the vernal pools. Thinks it would be well served to know just what the active park amenities inventory throughout the city include.
- Ralph Sirtak – Spoke about the Boy Scouts and using the American Legion building to hold meetings.
- Mike Owen – Asked about the FORTAG Trail on the eastern side; asked if the Maritime Chaparral ecosystem is allowed to flourish instead of being plowed under. Likes the idea the right-side trail goes by the landmark eucalyptus trees. Suggested trimming the northside of the eucalyptus trees to match the southside for aesthetic and safety reasons. Commented on saving the trees at the Dunes Park and never changing the footprint. Asked that along with bringing back the Recreation and Cultural Commission to include the Public Works, Commission to look at the infrastructure for these parks.
- Francine Rodd – Spoke about the First 5 Monterey County program and talked about a section in the General Plan that talks about childcare facilities, and it talks about Armstrong Ranch and industrial centers and that as the community grows that there would be a focus on childcare. Hoping that as that section gets built out, we can look at setting aside some space for childcare.
- Tommy Bolea – Asked if the parks will have artificial turf? Would prefer more indoor stuff such as daycare, swimming pool etc. Asked what was the lawyer litigation about? What percentage of the development is rentals when fully built out?

- Jeff Holder – after seeing the amendment with the new trail systems coming, curious what the privacy is going to look like for the folks that have property up against that that property line the fence line there? Also asked about the lighting concessions for the residents along that area.
- John Laroco – Asked that as we're moving forward with informing the public on the bond measure coming forward and it looks like there's a lot of infrastructure that's been designed into the Marina Station phase if we can relay that information as we're informing the public going forward with the bond measure how we've pre-planned or how we're extending services, you know fire and schooling and additional services for the Marina.
- Brian McCarthy – Commented that it's critical we continue to foster a good relationship with the developer, the community and the city and one way to do that is through the various commissions and boards; and filling those boards with well qualified community members who want to be engage. Provided a little background into the lawsuit/settlement agreement on Armstrong Ranch.

Restated Motion

BERKLEY/MEDINA DIRKSEN: THAT WE APPROVE RESOLUTION 2022-100, APPROVING THE ASSIGNMENT OF THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT RELATED TO THE MARINA STATION PROJECT TO 3RD MILLENNIAL PARTNERS, AND READ BY TITLE ONLY AND WAVE FURTHER READING AND APPROVE THE FIRST READING OF ORDINANCE, 2022-, APPROVING THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT RELATED TO THE MARINA STATION PROJECT; AND THAT WE DISCUSSED THE DETAILS OF THE PARKS IN A PUBLIC MEETING TO BE HELD BETWEEN COUNCIL, PLANNING COMMISSION, AND PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION; AND THAT ANYTHING THAT WE HAVE LOST, OR THAT WE HAVE CURRENTLY LOST, AS IT PERTAINS TO THIS AGREEMENT IS EITHER REALLOCATED SOMEPLACE ELSE IN THE CITY, OR WE RECEIVE THE LIEU OF FUNDS WHICH GO INTO THE PARKS IMPACT FEES. 4-1(Burnett)-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

10. OTHER ACTIONS ITEMS OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY: *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The Successor Agency may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*
11. OTHER ACTION ITEMS: *Action listed for each Agenda item is that which is requested by staff. The City Council may, at its discretion, take action on any items. The public is invited to approach the podium to provide up to four (4) minutes of public comment.*

Note: No additional major projects or programs should be undertaken without review of the impacts on existing priorities (Resolution No. 2006-79 – April 4, 2006).

- a. City Council Consider adopting **Resolution No. 2022-101**, awarding a construction contract for the City Park Barracks and Cypress Knolls Buildings Blight Removal 2022 Project to Unlimited Environmental Inc. of Long Beach, CA for the base bid in the amount of \$4,245,000; and allocating and appropriating additional blight removal funding in the amount of \$415,440 to project HSF2101 and \$161,560 to project HSF2103 for a total of \$577,000; and authorizing the City Manager to execute contract documents subject to final review and approval by the City Attorney; and authorizing the City Manager or his designee to expend up to an additional 10% of the contract amount for potential construction contingencies and to execute all construction change orders on behalf of the City; and authorizing the Finance Director to make necessary accounting and budgetary entries.

Council Questions: None

DELGADO/BURNETT: THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 2022-101, AWARDING A CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT FOR THE CITY PARK BARRACKS AND CYPRESS KNOLLS BUILDINGS BLIGHT REMOVAL 2022 PROJECT TO UNLIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL INC. OF LONG BEACH, CA FOR THE BASE BID IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,245,000; AND ALLOCATING AND APPROPRIATING ADDITIONAL BLIGHT REMOVAL FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$415,440 TO PROJECT HSF2101 AND \$161,560 TO PROJECT HSF2103 FOR A TOTAL OF \$577,000; AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS SUBJECT TO FINAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY THE CITY ATTORNEY; AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXPEND UP TO AN ADDITIONAL 10% OF THE CONTRACT AMOUNT FOR POTENTIAL CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCIES AND TO EXECUTE ALL CONSTRUCTION CHANGE ORDERS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY; AND AUTHORIZING THE FINANCE DIRECTOR TO MAKE NECESSARY ACCOUNTING AND BUDGETARY ENTRIES. 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

Public Comment:

- Joshua Dome – CEO Resource Environmental, pointed out that the #1 and 2 bidders were not responsive of your specifications of holding the right licenses and certifications as specified in your big documents. Those irregularities were waved in favor of the motion that's in front of you and the right thing to do is to award to the only responsive bidder, which is Resource Environmental Inc, who had a fully compliant fully responsive bid, and was properly licensed at time of bid.
 - Sean Dowsing – Attorney representing Resource Environmental. Commented on the bid protest letter submitted and hopes council had time to read it before making any decisions.
 - Mike Owen – Overwhelmed with the how much focus was going into certification of tree service. Spoke about an arborist would be accompanying staff on on-site inspections during tree removal and asked if one was hired.
 - Les Martin – Spoke about the current timeline to get the blight removed and asked why is it taking so long? Looking forward to the Council approving this contract and moving forward.
 - Tommy Bolea – Asked if animal trapping was going to be conducted prior to demolition? Will reclaimed water be used to keep the dust down? Who will police the removal of the trees? Will all the workers be certified?
 - Jill Dupleich – Vice president of a Limited Environmental. Provided clarification on the differences between “licensing and certification”. Spoke about the RFP specifications, legal and procedural documents.
 - Nestor Reyes – Commented about Resource Environmental’s bid protest letter and has no merit. Resource Environmental should be flagged and not be allowed to participate in future projects.
 - Chase Tinsley – Spoke against the previous speakers Jill Dupleich’s and Nester Reyes’s comments. Noted they we're the only contractor in the top 3 that did everything correct. We listed all the required subcontractors. We follow public contract. We had all require licenses. We had all required certifications. we are more than qualified, and we had no unfair advantages.
- b. City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2022-102 and Resolution No. 2022-01 (NPC)**, approving the approving the Abrams B Housing Area Budget for FY 2022-2023; and authorizing Finance Director to make appropriate accounting and budgetary entries.

Council Questions: Did you factor in We looked at the march rate prices for our rentals to do factor in at all? How many people are paying below market rentals, and what that is costing our city for not being at market? Have we have done an analysis of what that differential is what the actual differential is between in-place residents and what the current market rate is?

Public Comments

- Les Martin – Commented on the below market rate rents and the need to raise them. Asked about 17th Regiment Court and why they look the way they do, are they not a part of Abrams Park? Also commented on the Community Homeless Solutions homes and why they are not being maintained.
- Denise Turley – Noted that the two properties that Mr. Martin spoke about do not belong to Abrams or Preston Park even though they are geographically with in those neighborhoods. Noted that the market survey that was done was full of errors and missing information.
- Tommy Bolea – Was not aware that Abrams was a non-profit in that area. Commented Marina being the biggest landlords in the city and now seeking a bond when the city is making tons of money and exempt on property taxes.
- Liesbeth Visscher – Wanted to know if the city is making a profit there, or is the city losing money? Would there be room for a rent survey, so that the city can optimize their investments and being landlords there?
- Paula Pelot – Responded to some of the questions and concerns of the previous speakers. Commented on the bond on that property and the operating budget Noted the city is not losing money, we are maintaining an asset.
- John Laroco – Was not aware city owned Abrams Park. Asked how quickly will that bond be paid off, in how many years? How much of the funds that are received are going towards the bond? After it is paid, what are we expecting for revenue for the general fund?

BERKLEY/DELGADO: TO ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 2022-102 AND RESOLUTION NO. 2022-01 (NPC), APPROVING THE APPROVING THE ABRAMS B HOUSING AREA BUDGET FOR FY 2022-2023; AND AUTHORIZING FINANCE DIRECTOR TO MAKE APPROPRIATE ACCOUNTING AND BUDGETARY ENTRIES. 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

- c. City Council and Corporation Board consider adopting **Resolution No. 2022-103 and Resolution No. 2022-01(PPSC-NPC)**, approving the Preston Park Sustainable Community Non-Profit Corporation Preston Park Housing Area Budget for FY 2022-23; and authorizing Finance Director to make appropriate accounting and budgetary entries.

Council Questions: Although there is it discrepancy between in-place units and moving rental amounts per month that's a little skewed due to the higher cost of sewage, water, etc. does that affect the discrepancy, or is it applied evenly to move in and in-place residents? Do we consider long-term moving to solar is that something we can plan for; does it make sense for these 2 properties? Would you think that it would financially pan out for us long term, because these are quite a number of buildings, and we pay 10% utilities?

Public Comments:

- Liesbeth Visscher – Questioned whether we receive a daily report on the nightly security being billed for? Are all area checked four times per night or a quarter of the area? Will tenants be able to contact the security Company directly if they have any issues?

- John Laroco – Asked what is our current interest rate that we're paying on Preston Park? Why, hadn't we looked at potentially refinancing? If we can refinance, can we take some of those funds right now to pay towards the debt principle as opposed to just interest?
- Paula Pelot – Answered the previous speaker's questions about paying down the loan. Have no other option than to refinance when we can. Commented about putting money away in the reserves. Hopes that we can save enough for a new parking lot. Mentioned that this disparity between the old and new units that are being rented is that people who've been here for a long time do not have upgraded units. They don't get "new" they get "repairs".

BERKLEY/BURNETT: THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 2022-103 AND RESOLUTION NO. 2022-01(PPSC-NPC), APPROVING THE PRESTON PARK SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY NON-PROFIT CORPORATION PRESTON PARK HOUSING AREA BUDGET FOR FY 2022-23, AND; AUTHORIZING FINANCE DIRECTOR TO MAKE APPROPRIATE ACCOUNTING AND BUDGETARY ENTRIES. 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

- d. City Council consider adopting **Resolution No. 2022-104**, approving Amendment No. 1 to the On-Call Landscape Architectural Services agreement between the City of Marina and Verde Design, Inc. (Verde) increasing the annual contract limit to \$650,000 for fiscal year 2022-2023; and authorizing the City Manager to execute the amendment on behalf of the City subject to final review and approval by the City Attorney. ***Pulled by member of the public, was agenda item 8g(1)***

Council Questions: Why are you allocating 400 K. and 415K versus just a 100K for the Dunes so, could you explain that and why? Is it better to do this in 2 steps, in the future you come back to us for more design allocation for the Dunes City Park, then increasing it, say 700,00 or 800,000 tonight? Because we're trying to balance tree conservation preservation with maximum utilization of the park for things like ball fields and other activities. Is it possible that ball fields won't be able to be included if we maximize tree conservation, and you know and minimize grading in order to protect the trees?

Public Comments

- Mike Owen – Commented that both the Dunes City Park and Glorya Jean Tate Park are mentioned 5-time together in the staff report, but the information is pretty much focused on Gloria Jean Tate Park and asked how much money is going into each park? Commented on the park amenities. Asked about the status of Tatum's Tree House. Noted more information needs to be provided.
- Liesbeth Visscher – Asked will the public have a chance to give any comments about the plan for that city sports park at the Dunes. Commented on the elevation and height difference between Second Ave and the west side of the park. Will there be a baseball field versus two soccer fields?

DELGADO/BIALA: THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 2022-104, APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE ON-CALL LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF MARINA AND VERDE DESIGN, INC. (VERDE) INCREASING THE ANNUAL CONTRACT LIMIT TO \$650,000 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023; AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE THE AMENDMENT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY SUBJECT TO FINAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY THE CITY ATTORNEY. 5-0-0-0 Motion Passes by Roll Call Vote

12. COUNCIL & STAFF INFORMATIONAL REPORTS:

- a. Monterey County Mayor's Association [Mayor Bruce Delgado]
- b. Council and staff opportunity to ask a question for clarification or make a brief report on his or her own activities as permitted by Government Code Section 54954.2.

13. ADJOURNMENT: The meeting adjourned at 11:40 P.M.

Anita Sharp, Deputy City Clerk

ATTEST:

Bruce C. Delgado, Mayor