

#### **AGENDA**

Tuesday, January 14, 2020

5:00 P.M. Closed Session 6:30 P.M. Open Session

### **SPECIAL MEETING**

# CITY COUNCIL, AIRPORT COMMISSION, MARINA ABRAMS B NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, PRESTON PARK SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE FORMER MARINA REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND MARINA GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AGENCY

Council Chambers 211 Hillcrest Avenue Marina, California

- 1. <u>CALL TO ORDER</u>
- 2. <u>ROLL CALL & ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM:</u> (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, Preston Park Sustainable Communities Nonprofit Corporation, Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members and Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency)

MEMBERS PRESENT: Lisa Berkley, Frank O'Connell, Mayor Pro-Tem/Vice Chair, Gail Morton, Mayor/Chair Bruce C. Delgado

MEMBERS ABSENT: Adam Urrutia (Excused)

- 3. <u>CLOSED SESSION:</u> As permitted by Government Code Section 54956 <u>et seq.</u>, the (City Council, Airport Commissioners, Marina Abrams B Non-Profit Corporation, Preston Park Sustainable Communities Nonprofit Corporation, Successor Agency of the Former Redevelopment Agency Members and Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency) may adjourn to a Closed or Executive Session to consider specific matters dealing with litigation, certain personnel matters, property negotiations or to confer with the City's Meyers-Milias-Brown Act representative.
  - a. Conference with Legal Counsel, one case of existing litigation pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of CA Govt. Code Section 54956.9: (1) City of Marina and Marina Groundwater Sustainability Agency v. County of Monterey; Monterey County Board of Supervisors; County of Monterey Groundwater Sustainability Agency; California Department of Water Resources (DWR); and Director Karla Nemeth in her official capacity; Monterey County Superior Court Case No.19CV005270.

b. Conference with Legal Counsel, anticipated litigation – initiation of litigation pursuance to paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of CA Govt. Code Section 54956.9 – two potential cases

## <u>6:40 PM - RECONVENE OPEN SESSION AND REPORT ON ANY ACTIONS TAKEN IN</u> CLOSED SESSION

Robert Rathie reported out Closed Session: Council met in Closed Session on all matters listed on the agenda. Council received information, provided direction and no reportable action was taken on any of the matters.

- 4. MOMENT OF SILENCE & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (Please stand)
- 5. PUBLIC HEARINGS/OTHER ACTION ITEMS:
  - a. City Council consider adopting Resolution No. 2020-, receive a presentation on the adoption of a Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) for a portion of the 180/400 Foot Aquifer Sub-basin; provide direction to staff on any needed changes to the Groundwater Sustainability Plan; approve the Groundwater Sustainability Plan, and direct staff to file the Groundwater Sustainability Plan with the Department of Water Resources for their review and determination. (*Continued from January 7*, 2020)

Council Questions: Do the vernal pools and others quasi wetland areas near Highway1 overlie that area of freshwater-200,000 acre-feet, are they overlapped there? What does "data gap" refer to? Do you plan on monitoring those aquatic communities in perpetuity and then if there's drawdown you see the effect or not? If you see an effect do you try to characterize what can be done about it? How much available information is there historically to understand changes that have happened to these eco systems/plant communities for the last eight decades? Going into the future would this information be helpful? When you went from the specific responses to each comment and then did the summary responses, where the summary responses to some comments exclusive to those specific responses or did you respond twice, once specifically and once in summary? How did the Salinas Valley Basin respond in their response to comments to our comment to them that that was unacceptable? Sustainable Management Criteria – for two different criteria we're using two different methods or approaching them differently? Migration – is it not ok if it migrates one-foot or is it not ok if it migrates in to a new aquafer? How much migration is allowed? Currently, what is the minimum detection level? If we were to see some minimum migration of 100-feet and we were therefore bound to take trigger action might some people say that we're spending a lot of money without characterizing how important that small migration is? Do we or the Salinas Valley Basin plan to talk about confidence intervals? Is this something we commented on that they lack that component? So, it is consistent with SIGMA to rely on data for which there's no confidence intervals or understanding?

### **Public Comments:**

• Margaret-Ann Coppernol – Proud of Council, staff and consultants establishing this superb GSP to secure, protect and manage our sole water supply source is courageous and commendable. Deep desire for those opposing this plan will decide to cooperate, coordinate, collaborate and be part of a creative, positive, harmonious solution so we all work together for the benefit of all. The multiparty resolution consummated with the California Coastal Commission, Save the Lands Commission and the City of Marina should provide solid testimony that our city is operating in the best interest of our citizens and the environment. Marina has every right to exercise groundwater

management authority within its own jurisdiction and for the public good. Designating the Cemex property for conservation and recreation purposes only have been in the public interest indeed for the survival of our communities and neighbors. The City of Marina along with MCWD are exceptionally capable of preserving our water supply source and preventing further deterioration of the critically over drafted Salinas Valley groundwater Basin. Our citizens stand ready to support the City of Marina GS Plan and defend the water rights it protects. Thank you for doing the right thing.

Mayor closed the public hearing for public comments.

MORTON/BERKLEY: TO APPROVE THE REVISED RESOLUTION 2020-02, TO RECEIVE PRESENTATION ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FINAL GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY PLAN FOR MGSA'S PORTION OF THE 180/400 FOOT AQUIFER SUBBASIN; AND APPROVE THE FINAL GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY PLAN VOLUMES I & II, AND DIRECTS STAFF TO FILE THE FINAL GROUNDWATER WITH SUSTAINABILITY **PLAN**  $\mathbf{BY}$ **JANUARY** 31, 2020 THE **CALIFORNIA** DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES ALONG WITH ANY NECESSARY APPROPRIATE EXPLANATION OR DOCUMENTATION REGARDING THE FILING; AND SHOULD AFTER TONIGHT'S PUBLIC HEARING SHOULD THERE HAVE BEEN ANY DISCOVERED NEEDED CHANGES TO THE PLAN THAT WE DIRECT STAFF TO MAKE THOSE AND TO MAKE THE TIMELY FILING. 4-0-1-0(Urrutia) Motion Passes

6.	ADJOURNMENT: The meeting adjourned at 7:45 PM	
ATTEST:		Anita Sharp, Deputy City Clerk
Bruce C. D	Delgado, Mayor	